Benzodiazepine receptor ligands. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of 3-, 7- and 8-substituted [5,1-c][1,2,4] benzotriazines and 5-oxide derivatives. Part I

G Guerrini¹, A Costanzo^{1*}, F Bruni¹, S Selleri¹, L Casilli¹, L Giusti², C Martini², A Lucacchini², P Malmberg Aiello³, A Ipponi³

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Via Gino Capponi 9, 50121 Florence;
²Istituto Policattedra di Discipline Biologiche, Università delgi Studi di Pisa, Via Bonanno 6, 56100 Pisa;
³Dipartimento di Farmacologia Preclinica e Clinica Aiazzi-Mancini,
Università degli studi di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 11, 50121 Florence, Italy

(Received 3 October 1995; accepted 27 November 1995)

Summary — A new series of 3-, 7- and 8-substituted pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxides and a series of pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines were synthesized and their benzodiazepine receptor affinities were evaluated in vitro. A study of structure—affinity relationships within the series is briefly discussed, considering the role of various substituents at the 3-, 7- and 8-positions and the role of N^5 -oxide. Compounds 1b, 1c, 1cR, 4c, 4cR, 9d, 12d and 12dR were evaluated in vivo for their anticonvulsant effects.

pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide / pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine / benzodiazepine receptor / anticonvulsant activity / receptor binding

Introduction

Benzodiazepines (BZs) are the drugs of choice in the pharmacotherapy of anxiety and related emotional disorders, insomnia and epilepsies; they are also used as myorelaxants and as premedication in anesthesia.

The mechanism of action of BZs was discovered in 1974 and since then the benzodiazepine receptor (BZR) has been widely investigated. The BZR is part of the GABA_A/chloride channel supramolecular complex (GABA = γ -aminobutyric acid) and is composed of α , β and γ subunits in various combinations [1].

Bz ligands act as allosteric modulators of the GABA_A-receptor complex and elicit full agonist, inverse agonist or antagonist properties and some intermediate points within that spectrum. These ligands can enhance (agonists) or reduce (inverse agonists) the GABA-induced chlorine ion flux, or have no influence on the GABA_A-gated chlorine current (antagonists). Whilst the agonists have nonspecific central nervous system (CNS) depressant effects, the partial agonists are particularly interesting because of the expected lower incidence of undesired side effects [2], eg, sedation, tolerance and addition.

In addition to the classical 1,4-BZs several other structurally distinct families of compounds show affinity for the BZR complex. These non-BZ ligands include a 5- or 6-membered azole ring condensed with an aromatic or heteroaromatic moiety. The resulting molecule has an approximately planar shape.

Several papers [3–9] have reported the molecular requirements for the agonist, antagonist and inverse agonist activity. From comparison of various ligands belonging to chemically different classes, a pharmacophoric model for the agonist and inverse agonist activity has been proposed. These findings can aid the molecular design and synthesis of new more anxioselective compounds.

Continuing our investigations on tricyclic heterocycles containing a pyrazole moiety with potential CNS activity, the synthesis and evaluation of the BZR affinity of a series of pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]-benzotriazine 5-oxides are reported here. These compounds are related to the pyrazolo[4,3-c]quinolin-3-ones of the CGS [10] series by their similar size and shape, and should possess the requirements for interaction with BZR.

Our previous paper [11] reported the synthesis of the parent compound pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **1b** and some of its 3-, 7- and 8-substituted derivatives.

^{*}Correspondence and reprints

For the purpose of systematically evaluating the effects of different substituents on receptor affinity and pharmacological activity, a new series of 7- and 8-derivatives were synthesized, with a variety of different lipophilic and electronic natures and amounts of steric hindrance of the substituent. Moreover, a series of pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines (\mathbf{R} series) were prepared by reduction of 5-oxides, in order to evaluate the role of the N-oxide group on receptor affinity.

Chemistry

The new compounds described here are listed in table I, together with some of the previously reported 5-oxide derivatives [11], which are useful for the chemical and biological discussion.

A procedure described in a preceding paper [11] was used to obtain the pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxides from suitable 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-5-aminopyrazoles, which cyclized to the triazine system in 10% sodium hydroxide at room temperature. The ethyl 1-(2-nitro-4- or 5-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazole-4-carboxylates 2 and 3 and their 4-unsubstituted aminopyrazoles 2' and 3' were prepared first [11–13]. Under basic conditions the aminopyrazoles 2, 2' and 3' cyclized to give the expected 7-methyl- and 8-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxides 2a, b, 3b, respectively, while the aminopyrazole 3 gave only an intractable tar.

Using a previously described procedure [11] the acid **3a** was indirectly obtained via 1-(2-nitro-5-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazol-4-carboxyamide **3"**, which cyclized to give 3-carbamoyl-8-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **3e"**. The latter afforded the desired acid **3a** when treated with sodium nitrite and sulphuric acid.

In order to synthesize the pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]-benzotriazine 5-oxides with different substituents at the 8-position, we exploited the aromatic nucleophilic substitution of chlorine in the 8-chloro derivatives 4a and 4b [11]. Thus, compounds 4a and 4b were reacted with a series of nucleophilic agents to give the 8-derivatives 6b, 7a, 8b, 9a, b, 10a, 11a and 17b.

When the acid 4a was reacted with sodium thiomethoxide in refluxing ethanol, the 8-methylthio derivative 9a and its oxidized sulphur derivatives 10a and 11a were obtained. These were separated by column chromatography and identified by IR and ¹H-NMR spectra. The same reaction performed under nitrogen flow afforded compound 9a only.

The treatment of 1b with conc nitric acid/sulphuric acid afforded a mixture of 3-nitro and 3,7-dinitro derivatives, 1e and 14e, respectively, which were sepa-

rated by recrystallization. Compound 14e was obtained as the only product, using fuming nitric acid.

The ethyl esters were prepared by treatment of 2a, 3a, 7a and 9a with diethylcarbonate/sulphuric acid or ethyl alcohol/sulphuric acid. The 3-bromo derivatives were obtained by treatment of 2-4b, 6b, 9b, 16bR and 19bR with a solution of bromine in chloroform.

In order to extend the study of structure–activity relationships between 7- and 8-substituted 5-oxides, we decided to synthesize 3-bromopyrazolo[5,1-c]-[1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxides bearing an amino group at the 7-position and an amino and/or a nitro group at the 8-position.

The synthesis of the desired compounds is shown in scheme 1.

The 7-amino and 8-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]-benzotriazines, **15bR** and **18bR** were found to be the key reagents to obtain the target compounds. Treatment of the 7-nitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **14b** [11] with tin/conc hydrochloric acid afforded compound **15bR** as the only product and in good yield (treatment with zinc/acetic acid yielded a complex mixture of reduced products).

The synthesis of the 8-amino derivative **18bR** was more difficult than its isomer. We again used the nucleophilic aromatic substitution of chlorine at the 8-position of benzotriazine moiety.

The reaction of **4b** [11] with hydrazine hydrate in tetramethylenoxide at 50 °C afforded the 8-hydrazinyl derivative **17b**, which by reduction with zinc/acetic acid yielded the desired 8-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c] [1,2,4]benzotriazine **18bR**. An attempt to obtain **18bR** directly by reaction of **4b** [11] with sodium amide/liquid ammonia was unsuccessful.

Since the direct oxidation of 7- and 8-amino derivatives 15bR, 16bR, 18bR and 19bR did not give the target compounds, it was necessary to bypass this obstacle to synthesis. The protection of the amino group by acetylation, and the subsequent bromination of the 3-position, yielded the 7- and 8-acetylamino-3-bromo derivatives, 16dR and 19dR, which, upon oxidation, gave the desired 5-oxide derivatives, 16d and 19d respectively.

Oxidation of 7-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine **15bR** by treatment with various oxidizing agents (oxone [14], acetic anhydride/hydrogen peroxide) actually gave 7-nitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine **14bR** selectively, and the subsequent oxidation at 5-position occurred very slowly. Moreover, oxidation of the 7-acetylamino derivative **16bR** led only to a mixture of two inseparable *N*-oxides in different amounts. The structures of the two isomers were assigned by comparison of the ¹H-NMR spectral data of the mixture with those of the 7-substituted 5-oxides and with the corresponding reduced compounds. In the ¹H-NMR spectra of pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,2,4]benzo-

Table I. Chemical data for pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4] benzotriazines and oxides.

Compounda	R_7	$R_{\mathcal{S}}$	Formula (MW)	Mp (°C) (recrystallization solvent)	Method of reduction ^b	
le 1bR 1cR 1dR 1eR	Н Н Н Н	Н Н Н Н	$C_9H_5N_5O_3$ (231.17) $C_9H_6N_4$ (170.16) $C_{11}H_8N_4O_2$ (228.20) $C_9H_5N_4Br$ (248.97) $C_9H_5N_5O_2$ (215.17)	261–262 (EtOH/AcOH) 189–190 (H ₂ O) 177–178 (EtOH) 199–200 (EtOH/H ₂ O) 255–256 (EtOH)	A/B A A A	
2a 2b 2c 2d 2bR	CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃	Н Н Н Н	$\begin{array}{l} C_{11}H_8N_4O_3~(244.22) \\ C_{10}H_8N_4O~(200.22) \\ C_{13}H_{12}N_4O_3~(272.27) \\ C_{10}H_7N_4OBr~(278.97) \\ C_{10}H_8N_4~(184.22) \end{array}$	250–251 (EtOH) 196–198 (EtOH) 180–181 (EtOH) 234–235 (EtOH) 166–167 (EtOH/H ₂ O)	A	
3a 3b 3c 3d 3e" 3bR	Н Н Н Н Н	CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃	$\begin{array}{c} C_{11}H_8N_4O_3\ (244.22) \\ C_{10}H_8N_4O\ (200.22) \\ C_{13}H_{12}N_4O_3\ (272.27) \\ C_{10}H_7N_4OBr\ (278.97) \\ C_{11}H_9N_5O_2\ (243.23) \\ C_{10}H_8N_4\ (184.22) \end{array}$	> 300 (H ₂ O/AcOH) 167–168 (EtOH) 195–196 (EtOH) 215–216 (EtOH) 278–279 (EtOH/AcOH) 132–133 (EtOH)	A	
4d 4bR 4cR	H H H	CI CI CI	C ₉ H ₄ N ₄ OClBr (299.85) C ₉ H ₅ N ₄ Cl (204.61) C ₁₂ H ₉ N ₄ O ₂ Cl (276.63)	204.61) 169–170 (EtOH)		
5bR	Cl	Н	C ₉ H ₅ N ₄ Cl (204.61)	155-156 (EtOH)	A	
6b 6d	H H	OCH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₃ ^c OCH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₃ ^c	$\begin{array}{l} C_{13}H_{14}N_4O_3~(274.28) \\ C_{13}H_{13}N_4O_3Br~(353.08) \end{array}$	85–86 (<i>i</i> -Propylether) 135–136 (<i>i</i> -Propanol)		
7a 7c	H H	Morpholine Morpholine	$\begin{array}{l} C_{14}H_{13}N_5O_4\ (315.29) \\ C_{16}H_{17}N_5O_4\ (343.36) \end{array}$	278–279 (EtOH) 256–257 (MeOH)		
8b 8c 8cR	Н Н Н	$ NEt_2 $ $ NEt_2 $ $ NEt_2 $	$\begin{array}{l} C_{13}H_{15}N_5O~(257.24) \\ C_{16}H_{19}N_5O_3~(329.23) \\ C_{16}H_{19}N_5O_2~(313.40) \end{array}$	192–193 (Column) ^d 182–183 (Ethylacetate) 123–124 (EtOH)	Α	
9a 9b 9c 9d 9dR	Н Н Н Н	SCH ₃ SCH ₃ SCH ₃ SCH ₃ SCH ₃	C ₁₁ H ₈ N ₄ O ₃ S (276.24) C ₁₀ H ₈ N ₄ OS (232.22) C ₁₃ H ₁₂ N ₄ O ₃ S (304.24) C ₁₀ H ₇ N ₄ OSBr (311.02) C ₁₀ H ₇ N ₄ SBr (295.02)	272–273 (EtOH) 217–218 (EtOH) 202–203 (EtOH) 226–227 (EtOH) 176–177 (<i>i</i> -Propanol)	A	
10a	Н	SOCH ₃	C ₁₁ H ₈ N ₄ O ₄ S (292.24)	276–277 (Column) ^e		
11a	Н	SO ₂ CH ₃	$C_{11}H_8N_4O_5S$ (308.24)	291–293 (Column) ^e		
12cR 12dR	H H	OEt OEt	C ₁₄ H ₁₄ N ₄ O ₃ (286.36) C ₁₁ H ₉ N ₄ OBr (293.03)	175–176 (EtOH) 179–180 (<i>i</i> -Propanol)	A A	

Table I. (Continued.)

Compound ^a R ₇		Rs	Formula (MW)	Mp (°C) (recrystallization solvent)	Method of reduction ^b	
14e	NO ₂	Н	C ₉ H ₄ N ₆ O ₅ (276.16)	281–282 (Acetic acid)		
14bR	NO_2^2	Н	$C_9H_5N_5O_2$ (215.17)	240–241 (<i>i</i> -Propanol)	Α	
14cR	NO_2^2	Н	$C_{12}H_9N_5O_4(287.27)$	> 300 (<i>i</i> -Propanol)	Α	
15d	NH_2	Н	C ₉ H ₆ N ₅ OBr (279.98)	255–256 (Methoxyethanol)		
15bR	NH_{2}^{2}	Н	$C_9H_7N_5$ (185.18)	214–215 (EtOH)	C	
15dR	NH_2^2	Н	$C_9H_5N_5Br$ (263.98)	234–235 (EtOH/H ₂ O)		
16d	NHAc	Н	$C_{11}H_8N_5O_2$ Br(322.03)	> 300 (Methoxyethanol)		
16bR	NHAc	Н	$C_{11}H_9N_5O(227.23)$	264–265 (EtOH)		
16dR	NHAc	Н	$C_{11}H_8N_5OBr$ (306.03)	267–268 (EtOH)		
17b	Н	$NHNH_2$	C ₉ H ₈ N ₆ O (216.19)	230–231 (EtOH/H ₂ O)		
18d	Н	NH_2	C ₀ H ₆ N ₅ OBr (279.98)	> 300 (Methoxyethanol)		
18bR	H	NH_2	$C_9H_7N_5$ (185.18)	284–285 (EtOH/H ₂ O)	В	
18dR	Н	NH_2	$C_9H_6N_5Br$ (263.98)	$> 300 \text{ (EtOH/H}_2\text{O)}$		
19d	Н	NHAc	$C_{11}H_8N_5O_2Br$ (322.03)	$> 300 \text{ (EtOH/H}_2\text{O})$		
19bR	H	NHAc	$C_{11}H_9N_5O(227.23)$	298–299 (EtOH)		
19dR	H	NHAc	$C_{11}H_8N_5OBr$ (306.03)	278–279 (EtOH/H ₂ O)		

^aAll compounds: **a** R_3 = COOH; **b** R_3 = H; **c** R_3 = COOR (R = Me for compounds 1, R = Et for compounds 2, 3 and 7–9); **d** R_3 = Br; **e** R_3 = NO₂; **e**' R_3 = CN; **e**" R_3 = CONH₂. See reference [11] for compounds 1**a**–**d**, 1**e**' and 1**e**" (R_7 = R_8 = H), 4**a**–**c**, 4**e**' and 4**e**" (R_7 = H, R_8 = Cl), 5**a**–**c** (R_7 = Cl, R_8 = H), 12**a**–**d** (R_7 = H, R_8 = OEt), 13**a**–**c** (R_7 = H, R_8 = OH), 14**a**–**d** (R_7 = NO₂, R_8 = H). ^bMethod of reduction: A = triethylphosphite; B = zinc/acetic acid; C = tin/conc hydrochloric acid. ^cOCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₃ = ethylethylenedioxo. ^dToluene/ethylacetate 8:2. ^cToluene/ethylacetate/acetic acid 8:2:1.

triazine 5-oxides and their reduced derivatives, it appears that the H-3 proton is more influenced by the presence of an oxygen atom than the other protons (H-2, H-6, H-8 and H-9). For example, the H-3 proton of 7-chloropyrazolo[5,1,-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide [11] appears at 6.81 ppm, while the same proton is shifted to 7.45 ppm in its reduced derivative. Thus, the structure of 5-oxide **16b** was assigned to the compound present in greater amounts in the mixture. The chemical shifts of all its protons agree with chemical shifts of protons of the directly obtained 7-substituted 5-oxides; the chemical shift of H-3, which appears at 6.90 ppm as a doublet ($J_{\rm H3-H2} = 2.20 \, {\rm Hz}$), is diagnostic.

The structure of a 4-oxide **16b'** was assigned to the other compound of the mixture as the most probable. In the ¹H-NMR spectra of **16b'** the doublet of H-3 is shifted (7.28 ppm, $J_{\rm H3-H2}=2.19$ Hz with respect to 6.90 ppm of 5-oxide **16b**) and the doublet ($J_{\rm H6-H8}=2.00$ Hz) of H-6 is strongly influenced (7.82 ppm with respect to 8.84 ppm of the 5-oxide **16b**). Since the

other protons (H-2, H-8 and H-9) have minor shifts, oxidation at the 1-position can be ruled out.

Finally, treatment of **16dR** with *meta*-chloroper-benzoic acid afforded the target compound **16d**, showing that bromine is required in the 3-position to selectively orientate the oxidation on N⁵.

As regards the other isomers 8-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c] [1,2,4]benzotriazine **18bR** and 8-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine **19bR**, the oxidation with the same oxidizing agents always gave a mixture of 5- and 4-oxides. (Compounds **18b'** and **19b'** were inseparable and were identified by ¹H-NMR as previously stated.) Acylation at the 8-amino group and bromination at the 3-position was again necessary before oxidation with hydrogen peroxide/acetic acid/acetic anhydride. The 8-nitro derivative was never obtained from **18bR**.

Compounds 16dR, 16d, 19dR and 19d were hydrolyzed to the desired compounds 15dR, 15d, 18dR and 18d by treatment with diluted hydrochloric acid. Unfortunately, oxidation of 8-amino compounds 18b,

18bR, **18d** and **18dR** never gave the desired 8-nitro derivatives, thus confirming the higher reactivity of the 7-position towards oxidation.

Finally, some 5-oxides (1–5b, 14b, 1c, 4c, 8c, 12c, 14c, 1d, 9d, 12d, 1e) were treated with triethylphosphite, to selectively reduce the *N*-oxide group. All the pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines prepared are listed in table I.

Biological and pharmacological results and discussion

Binding studies

The ability of pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines and their 5-oxides to interact with the benzodiazepine receptor site was evaluated by their ability to displace

[3 H]flunitrazepam (FNZ) (at 0.2 nM, K_d = 1.8 nM) from its specific binding in bovine brain membranes. The compounds were tested at a concentration of 10 μ M in the presence of 2% ethanol (or DMSO) to dissolve the pyrazolo[5 ,1- c][1 ,2,4]benzotriazines and 5-oxides. IC 5 0 values were determined for the most active compounds and K_i values were then derived. GABA ratio values were evaluated as an in vitro indicator of the agonist, inverse agonist or antagonist properties, according to different authors [1 5-17]. Binding data for all compounds are shown in table II.

Compounds 3d, 4c, 9c, 9d, 12c and 12d possess good affinity for BZR (K_i range 35–93 nM) with an efficacy trend typical of partial agonists and agonists (GABA from 1.0 to 1.9). By comparison of the tested compounds with the parent compound 1b, which shows no affinity for BZR, the following observations arise.

Scheme 1.

Table II. BZR ligand affinity of pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines and 5-oxides.

Compound	R_3	R_7	R_8	% Inhibition ^a	$K_i(nM)^b$	GABA ratio ^c
1a	СООН	Н	Н	2	_	_
lb	Н	Н	Н	0	_	_
c	COOMe	Н	Н	93 ± 9	583	1.97
d	Br	Н	Н	62 ± 5.9	_	_
e	NO_2	Н	H	0	_	_
e¹	CN	Н	H	20	_	_
c	COOEt	Me	H	10	_	_
d	Br	Me	H	61.5	_	_
c	COOEt	Н	Me	100	106	2.67
d	Br	Ĥ	Me	99.5	53.2	1.29
b	H	H	Cl	64	-	_
c	COOEt	H	Cl	99.8 ± 5	35	1.91
d	Br	H	Cl	98	120	1.31
u e'	CN	H	Cl	96 ± 1	342	1.37
e''	CONH ₂	H	Cl	27	5 4 2	1.57 —
b b		Cl			_	
	H		H	0	_	_
C	COOEt	Cl	H	12 ± 3	_	_
b	H	Н	OCH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₃ d	45 ± 4.7	145.5	2.05
d	Br	Н	OCH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₃ ^d	94 ± 6	145.5	2.05
C	COOEt	H	Morpholine	48.5	_	_
b	Н	H	NEt_2	30 ± 6	_	_
c	COOEt	Н	\mathbf{NEt}_2	85 ± 7.9	660	1.73
b	Н	Н	SMe	55	-	- .
2	COOEt	Н	SMe	99.7 ± 9	77	1.23
d ^e	Br	Н	SMe	93.6 ± 5.4	93.6	1.09
2b	Н	Н	OEt	38		_
2c ^e	COOEt	Н	OEt	91.6 ± 8.5	81.8	1.61
2d	Br	Н	OEt	98 ± 8.5	36.9	1.14
3c	COOEt	H	ОН	93 ±8	227.7	2.0
4 b	H	NO_2	Н	2 ± 0.5	-	_
4c	COOEt	NO_2	Н	19.7	_	_
4d	Br	NO_2^z	H	13 ± 1.5	_	_
le	NO_2	NO_2^2	Н	0	_	-
5 d	Br	NH_2^2	H	90	539.4	1.73
6 d	Br	NHAc	H	24.15	_	_
8d	Br	Н	$\overline{\mathrm{NH}}_{2}$	49.5	_	_
9d	Br	H	NHAc	42	_	_
bR	Н	Н	Н	9	_	_
cR	COOMe	Ĥ	H	78 ± 8	2130	1.25
cR	COOEt	H	Cl	93.5	281.3 ± 25	1.7
cR	COOEt	H	NEt ₂	42	201.5 ± 25	_
dR	Br	H	SMe	93	104.6 ± 7	0.8
2cR	COOEt	H	OEt	95.5	192.5 ± 16	1.7
2dR		п Н				1.7
	Br		OEt	90.4	477.5 ± 38	
4cR	COOEt	$\frac{NO_2}{NH}$	H	4.9	_	
5dR	Br	NH ₂	H	67.8	_	_
6dR	Br	NHAc	H	0	_	_
8dR	Br	Н	NH_2	75.5	2550	-
9dR	Br	Н	NHAc	79.4	3578.6	1.86
OAZ					10 ± 1.1	1.5

^aPercent of inhibition of specific [³H]flunitrazepam binding at 10 μM concentration are means \pm SEM of five determinations. ^b K_i values are means \pm SEM of five determinations. ^cGABA ratio = IC₅₀ compound + 10 μM GABA performed in five independent experiments. ^dEthylethylenedioxo. The tests were carried out using EtOH as solvent or ^cDMSO.

Pyrazole ring 3-substitutions

A substitution at the 3-position with either a ethoxy-carbonyl group or bromine is required in order to obtain compounds that bind to the BZR. This suggestion is supported by the lack of receptor affinity of 1b, 1a, 1e and 1e', which bear a hydrogen atom or hydrophilic group or electron-withdrawing group at the 3-position. Moreover, the 3-ethoxycarbonyl derivatives show an efficacy trend towards full agonist (eg, 3c with respect to 3d and 4c with respect to 4d).

Benzotriazine moiety with 7- and 8-substitutions

As indicated in table II, introduction of chlorine or another small lipophilic group (such as methylthio-, ethoxy-, methyl-) at the 8-position of the benzotriazine moiety in the parent compound 1b appears necessary for binding to BZR. Furthermore, the concomitant presence of a suitable substitution at the 3-position seems to be very important for enhancing the receptor affinity (3d, 4c, 9c, 9d, 12c and 12d). Moreover, the replacement of the chlorine with a bulky lipophilic substituent like a long etheric chain (6d), a diethylamino group (8c) or a morpholine ring (7c) reduces or eliminates the receptor affinity even though there is a suitable substitution at the 3-position. The position of the lipophilic substituent on the benzotriazine moiety was found to be extremely important; the 7-derivatives exhibit a reduced or insignificant receptor affinity (2d, 2c and **5c**). Thus, the displacement of the substituent from the 8-position to the 7-position is unfavourable.

When the 8-position is replaced by an amino group (18d) or by another hydrophilic substituent, a decrease in receptor affinity was observed. In contrast with the general trend of the 7-substituted compounds, only the 7-amino derivative 15d shows affinity for BZR.

In general the BZR affinity of 5-oxides is better than the reduced derivatives.

Pharmacophore model

From the various proposed models [3–5, 9], common features of the agonist pharmacophore for BZR binding have emerged. This pharmacophore model consists of: two hydrogen bond donor sites, H_1 and H_2 ; three lipophilic regions L_1 , L_2 and L_3 , whose occupation is required for a full agonist activity, whereas the occupation of L_1 and L_2 regions gives partial agonist profile [18]; and two regions of repulsive steric interaction called S_1 and S_2 , which reduce receptor–ligand affinity.

On the basis of this receptor map, from a qualitative point of view, it may be that our compounds interact at the receptor site with the N-1 and N-4 by means of a hydrogen bond involving the H_1 and H_2 donor sites respectively. It is plausible that the 5-oxide group and the 3-ethoxycarbonyl group can reinforce N-4

binding. This is confirmed by the decrease in affinity of the reduced compounds.

Moreover, the reduced affinity for BZR of the 8-derivatives with bulky substituents, **6d**, **8c** and **7c**, and the lack of affinity of the 7-substituted ones, **2c** and **5c**, might be explained by the fact that these substituents interact with the repulsive regions S_2 . It can be assumed that the lipophilic regions L_1 and L_2 are occupied by the aromatic ring of benzotriazine moiety and by a small lipophilic group at the 8-position. This substituent may be located in an area which corresponds to the *para* position of the 2-aryl CGS series [9, 19].

The L₃ region should not be involved; this would explain the partial agonist trend that we generally observed with the GABA ratio value.

Pharmacological results

Compounds 1b, 1c, 1cR, 4c, 4cR, 9d, 9dR, 12d and 12dR were evaluated for their anticonvulsant and myorelaxant effects in three to five doses ranging from 3 to 300 mg/kg po (see table III). During the 30 min prior to the test, the animals were carefully observed for gross behaviour in their home-cages. No behavioural alterations were evident following the administration of each of the substances studied. One indication of absorption of all the compounds, except 9d and 9dR, after po administration was the intense yellow colour of the animals' urine, which was the same colour as the compounds under study. None of the compounds induced myorelaxation or sedation in mice, as demonstrated by rotarod testing, while all of them, except the prototype 1b, showed a statistically significant protective effect from convulsions caused by pentylenetetrazole (PTZ). Compounds 1c, 4cR, 9d, 9dR and 12dR showed bell-shaped dose-response curves, while the dose-response relationships for compounds 1cR, 4c and 12 appeared linear in the dose ranges used. In particular, 12d was the most effective in reducing the percentage of convulsant animals (36.8% at 300 mg/kg po).

For the compounds that showed a low GABA ratio value, **9d**, **9dR** and **12d**, 0.8, 1.09 and 1.14 respectively, a further in vivo study was performed (table IV). These compounds were administered in combination with diazepam (DAZ) and were evaluated for their ability to antagonize the anticonvulsant activity of the latter, in comparison with Flumazenil (RO 15-1788, GABA ratio 1.1, IC₅₀ 1.82 nM, Anexate, Roche). Flumazenil is considered to be an antagonist at the central BZ recognition site and shows, per se, an anticonvulsant effect. Nevertheless it can significantly reduce the effect of DAZ. This is probably due to the fact that it is actually an agonist/antagonist [20–22]. It is interesting to note that **9d** and **9dR**, which showed

bell shaped dose—response curves (table III), were significantly effective in reducing the anticonvulsant action of DAZ at doses at which they had lost their protective effect per se. The reduction induced by 12d, which had a linear dose—response curve, did not reach statistical significance. A possible explanation of the bell-shaped curves obtained could be that compounds 9d and 9dR act as agonist/antagonist drugs, ie, in an opposite manner on BZR sites, like Flumazenil.

Compound 4c, which showed a high GABA ratio value (1.91) and a low K_i value (35 nM), was also evaluated for its anxiolytic effect in rats and in comparison with a significantly anxiolytic dose of

DAZ (Valium, Roche 1 mg/kg ip) in Plus-Maze testing. Neither the percentage entries in the open arms nor the cumulative time spent in the open arms of 4c-treated rats (100 mg/kg po) differed from controls.

Conclusion

The parent compound 1b, which had no affinity to BZR, does not show in vivo activity. Compounds 9d and 9dR (3-bromo-8-methylthio-substituted) show a modest in vivo activity as anticonvulsant, but significantly antagonize the anticonvulsant action of DAZ.

Table III. Myorelaxant and anticonvulsant effect of some pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines and 5-oxides in comparison with DAZ.

Treatment	mg/kg po	n	Number of falls	Non-convulsant		Lethality
			from rotarod	n	%	
CMC 1%	0.1 mL	82	0.29 ± 0.07	8/82	9.7	3/82
DAZ	0.1	21	0.33 ± 0.10	10/21	46.7**	_
	0.3	27	0.51 ± 0.20	26/27	96.3**	_
	1	15	0.73 ± 0.28	15/15	100**	_
	3 5	1	$1.5 \pm 0.45**$	10/10	100**	_
		33	$2.42 \pm 0.44***$	30/30	100**	_
1b	100	17	0.11 ± 0.08	2/17	11.7	3/17
1c	30	16	0.5 ± 0.18	2/16	12.5	_
	100	15	0.17 ± 0.09	4/17	23.5*	_
	300	16	0.43 ± 0.15	17/16	6.245	_
1cR	30	15	0 ± 0.0	0/15	0	_
	100	16	0 ± 0.0	4/16	25*	_
	300	15	0.2 ± 0.1	4/15	26.6*	
4c	30	20	_	3/20	15	_
	100	32	0.5 ± 0.15	9/32	28.1**	_
	300	20	0.33 ± 0.18	5/20	25*	_
4cR	10	10	0.3 ± 0.15	1/10	10	_
	30	17	0.11 ± 0.08	4/17	23.5*	1/17
	100	16	0.06 ± 0.06	5/16	31.2**	1/16
	300	16	0.31 ± 0.15	3/16	18.7	1/4
9d	30	18	0.27 ± 0.13	3/18	16.6	-
	100	18	0.38 ± 0.14	4/18	22*	_
	300	18	0.055 ± 0.055	3/18	16.6	_
9dR	3	18	0.16 ± 0.09	2/18	11.1	_
	10	20	0.2 ± 0.11	5/20	25*	_
	30	17	0.35 ± 0.11	5/17	29.4**	3/17
	100	20	0.45 ± 0.18	5/20	25*	· _
	300	17	0.23 ± 0.1	1/17	5.8	2/17
12d	10	16	0.56 ± 0.15	2/16	12.5	1/16
	30	19	0.2 ± 0.13	4/19	21*	_
	100	25	=	7/25	28**	_
	300	19	0.15 ± 0.08	7/19	36.8**	_
12dR	3	17	0.15 ± 0.05 0.35 ± 0.14	1/17	11.76	_
	10	16	0.12 ± 0.08	4/16	25*	1.16
	30	16	0.38 ± 0.15	4/16	25*	1/16
	100	18	0.16 ± 0.09	5/18	27.7**	1/18
	300	15	0.46 ± 0.03	2/15	13.3	1/15

^{*}P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) treated mice.

Table IV. Ability of some pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines and 5-oxides to antagonize DAZ activity.

Treatment	mg/kg	n	Number of falls	Non-convulsant	
2.00	po	.,	from rotarod	n	%
Flumazenil	0.3 ip	11	0.09 ± 0.09	4/11	36.3*
	l ip`	20	0.7 ± 0.17 *	6/20	30*
Flumazenil + DAZ	1 ip	20	0.45 ± 0.18	13/29	65**
	$0.\vec{3}$				
9d + DAZ	100	15	0.73 ± 0.20	15/15	100
	0.3				
9d + DAZ	300	7	0.0 ± 0.0	5/7	71.4*
	0.3				
9dR + DAZ	100	13	0.38 ± 0.31	11/13	84.6
	0.3				
9dR + DAZ	300	21	0.28 ± 0.12	15/21	71.4*
	0.3				
12d + DAZ	100	14	0.57 ± 0.20	13/14	92.85
	0.3				
12d + DAZ	300	22	0.54 ± 0.12	18/22	81.8
	0.3			•	

^{*}P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 versus DAZ (0.3 mg/kg) treated mice.

Therefore it is conceivable that, for these compounds, the antagonist properties are dominant, also according to GABA ratio values, 1.09 and 0.8, respectively.

Compound 12d (3-bromo-8-ethoxy-substituted) shows modestly higher anticonvulsant activity than the other compounds (36.8% at 300 mg/kg po). On the other hand, even though its GABA ratio value is 1.14, 12d does not present antagonist properties (see table IV). Thus, for 12d it is plausible to assume a partial agonist profile with low efficacy.

Compound 4c (3-carbethoxy-8-chloro-substituted) has the best affinity to BZR ($K_i = 35 \text{ nM}$) with trend towards full-agonist (GABA ratio 1.91). It shows modest anticonvulsant activity, which is lower than 12d, considering that their K_i are comparable: 35 nM for 4c and 36.5 nM for 12d. Compound 4c does not have any anxiolytic properties.

These in vivo results, which seem to be in contrast with binding data, may be attributed to bioavailability problems. Compound 12d probably has more favourable chemical and features of lipophilic and steric hindrance causing it to have in vivo activity.

The BZR affinity of the 5-oxides is better than reduced derivatives and does not correspond with the best activity in in vivo tests, where the anticonvulsant effects of the two series are comparable.

Experimental protocols

Chemistry

The structures of all compounds were supported by their IR spectra (KBr pellets in Nujol mulls, Perkin-Elmer 681 spectro-

photometer) and $^1\text{H-NMR}$ data (measured with a Varian Gemini at 200 MHz; chemical shifts are expressed in δ (ppm) using DMSO- d_6 or CDCl $_3$ as solvent). Melting points were determined with a Gallenkamp apparatus and were uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by the laboratories of Dipartimento Farmaco-Chimico-Tecnologico of University of Siena, Italy, with a Perkin-Elmer, model 240C, Elemental Analyzer and the results are within $\pm 0.4\%$ of theoretical values. The purity of samples was determined by means of TLC, which was performed using Machery-Nagel Duren, Alugram silica-gel plates.

General procedure for synthesis of 2 and 3 The products were prepared as previously described [11], using 2-nitro-4-methyl- [12] or 2-nitro-5-methylphenylhydrazine [13] and ethyl 2-cyano-3- ethoxypropeneate.

Ethyl 1-(2-nitro-4-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazol-4-carboxylate 2. This was obtained from 2-nitro-4-methylphenylhydrazine. Yellow crystals, yield 75%; mp 187–188 °C after recrystallization from ethanol. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3420–3300, 1680, 1530; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.82 (d, 1H, H-3'); 7.70 (s, 1H, H-3); 7.54 (dd, 1H, H-5'); 7.45 (d, 1H, H-6'); 5.20 (bs, 2H, NH₂); 4.28 (q, 2H, CH₂); 2.50 (s, 3H, 4'-CH3); 1.35 (t, 3H, CH₃). Anal $C_{13}H_{14}N_4O_4$ (C, H, N).

Ethyl 1-(2-nitro-5-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazol-4-carboxylate 3. This was obtained from 2-nitro-5-methylphenylhydrazine. Yellow crystals, yield 70%; mp 132–133 °C after recrystallization from ethanol/water. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3440–3300, 1700; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.98 (d, 1H, H-3'); 7.78 (s, 1H, H-3); 7.42 (m, 2H, H-4', H-6'); 5.15 (bs, 2H, NH₂); 4.30 (q, 2H, CH₂); 2.50 (s, 3H, 4'-CH₃); 1.35 (t, 3H, CH₃). Anal C₁₃H₁₄N₄O₄ (C, H, N).

General procedure for synthesis of 2' and 3'

A suspension of 2 or 3 (1.00 g) in 15 mL conc hydrochloric acid was refluxed for 12 h. After cooling at room temperature, the respective hydrochlorides were filtered. Upon dissolution in water and neutralization with conc ammonia, the 5-aminopyrazoles 2' or 3' were isolated.

I-(2-Nitro-4-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazole 2'. The aminopyrazole is a liquid and was characterized as the hydrochloride. White crystals, yield 90%; mp 272–273 °C after recrystallization from isopropyl alcohol. IR ν cm⁻¹: 2560–2500; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ: 8.10 (m, 4H, H-3' and NH₃+); 7.80 (d, 1H, H-3); 7.73 (m, 2H, H-5', H-6'); 5.68 (d, 1H, H-4); 2.50 (s, 3H, CH₃). Anal $C_{10}H_{11}N_4O_2Cl$ (C, H, N).

I-(2-Nitro-5-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazole 3'. Cream crystals, yield 70%; mp 250–251 °C after recrystallization from ethanol. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3300–3180; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.08 (m, 1H, H-3'); 7.60 (m, 3H, H-4', H-6' and H-3'); 6.35 (s, 2H, NH₂); 5.62 (d, 1H, H-4); 2.50 (s, 3H, CH₃). Anal $C_{10}H_{10}N_4O_2$ (C, H, N).

1-(2-Nitro-5-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazole-4-carbonitrile 3" This was obtained from 2-nitro-5-methylphenylhydrazine and 2-ethoxy-1,1-ethenedicarbonitrile, according to the described procedure [11]. Yellow crystals, yield 70%; mp 173–174 °C after recrystallization from ethanol/water. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3440–3340; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.04 (d, 1H, H-3'); 7.65 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.49 (dd, 1H, H-4'); 7.38 (d, 1H, H-6'); 4.49 (bs, 2H, NH₂); 2.53 (s, 3H, CH₃). Anal $C_{11}H_9N_5O_2$ (C, H, N).

1-(2-Nitro-5-methylphenyl)-5-aminopyrazole-4-carboxiamide

This was obtained from 3" by treatment with conc sulphuric acid according to [11]. Yellow crystals, yield 35%; mp 182–183 °C after recrystallization from water. IR v cm⁻¹: 3440, 3360, 3200,1650; 1 H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 7.98 (d, 1H, H-3'); 7.85 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.52 (m, 2H, H-4' and H-6'); 7.40 (bs, 1H, NH); 6.85 (bs, 1H, NH); 6.41 (bs, 2H, NH₂); 2.47 (s, 3H, CH₃). Anal $C_{11}H_{11}N_5O_3$ (C, H, N).

General procedure for synthesis of 2a, 2b, 3b and 3e"
A suspension of 5 mmol of the appropriate 5-aminopyrazole in 40 mL of 10% sodium hydroxide was kept at room temperature for 24 h. The precipitate was filtered and purified by recrystallization.

3-Carboxy-7-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 2a. This was obtained from 2. Yellow crystals, yield 97%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3000–2900, 1720, 1570; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.58 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.38 (m, 2H, H-2, H-9); 7.88 (dd, 1H, H-8); 2.61 (s, 3H, CH₃).

7-Methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 2b. This was obtained from 2'. Yellow crystals, yield 88%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1560; 1H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.35 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.30 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.08 (d, 1H, H2); 7.75 (dd, 1H, H-8); 6.74 (d, 1H, H3); 2.60 (s, 3H, CH₃).

8-Methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 3b. This was obtained from 3'. Yellow crystals, yield 85%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1550; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) &: 8.42 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.20 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.08 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.42 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.71 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.62 (s, 3H, CH₃).

3-Carbamoyl-8-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 3e". This was obtained from 3". Yellow crystals, yield 98%. IR v cm⁻¹: 3400, 3180, 1650, 1570; 1 H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) 8: 8.57 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.36 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.23 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.66 (m, 2H, H-7 and NH); 7.15 (bs, 1H, NH); 2.64 (s, 3H, CH₃).

3-Carboxy-8-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c[1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide

This was prepared according to [11] by treatment of **3e**" with conc sulphuric acid and sodium nitrite. Yellow crystals, yield 98%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 2700–2600, 1680, 1570; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.58 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.35 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.23 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.63 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.63 (s, 3H, CH₃).

8-Ethylethylenedioxopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 6h

Compound **4b** (0.1 mmol) [11] was dissolved in a mixture of ethoxyethanol (20 mL) and 10% sodium hydroxide (10 mL) and was stirred at room temperature for 2 days. The solution was evaporated in vacuo and the residue recrystallized. Yellow crystals, yield 45%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1570, 1250, 1090; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.47 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.10 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.74 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.20 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.71 (d, 1H, H-3); 4.36 (t, 2H, ArOCH₂CH₂O); 3.90 (t, 2H, ArOCH₂CH₂O); 3.63 (q, 2H, CH₂); 1.28 (t, 3H, CH₃).

3-Carboxy-8-morpholinopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **7a**

A suspension of **4a** (1 mmol) [11] in 2-ethoxyethanol (15 mL) was treated with 5 mL morpholine and maintained at 60 °C. The residue obtained after evaporation of solvent was acidified with acetic acid and the precipitate filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals, yield 79%. IR v cm⁻¹: 3200, 2600, 1710,1560; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ :12.70 (s, 1H, OH); 8.51 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.22 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.42 (m, 2H, H-7, H-9); 3.80 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂); 3.69 (m, 4H, NCH₂CH₂).

8-Diethylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 8b A suspension of **4b** (0.7 mmol) [11] in 10 mL diethylamine and 5 mL 10% sodium hydroxide was stirred at 60 °C for 2 days. The solution was then evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (toluene/ethylacetate 8:2 as eluent, faster eluted band). Yellow crystals, yield 38%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1540; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.33 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.03 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.20 (d, 1H, H-9); 6.87 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.60 (d, 1H, H-3); 3.58 (q, 4H, N(CH₂)₂); 1.30 (t, 6H, N(CH₂CH₃)₂.

3-Ethoxycarbonyl-8-diethylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **8c**

To a solution of **4c** (0.7 mmol) in 2-ethoxyethanol (15 mL), diethylamine (20 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 4 days. After evaporation of the solution, the residue was treated with diethyl ether, filtered and purified. Yellow crystals, yield 66%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1760, 1570; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.45 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.30 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.19 (d, 1H, H-9); 6.90 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.42 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₃); 3.57 (q, 4H, N-(CH₂)₂); 1.40 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₃); 1.30 (t, 6H, N(CH₂CH₃)₂.

3-Carboxy-8-methylthiopyrazolo[5,1-cl[1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **9a**

A suspension of 4a (0.5 mmol) [11] in ethanol (150 mL) was reacted with sodium thiomethoxide (1.75 mmol) at reflux under nitrogen flow for 5 days. The reaction was monitored by TLC (toluene/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 8:2:1 as eluent). After dilution and acidification with diluted hydrochloric acid, the precipitate obtained was filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals, yield 80% IR ν cm⁻¹: 2600, 1670, 1560; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 12.50 (bs, 1H, OH); 8.58 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.30 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.00 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.64 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.72 (s, 3H, SCH₃).

8-Methylthiopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 9b To a solution of 4b (1.0 mmol) [11] in 25 mL of methoxyethanol, was added sodium thiomethoxide (2.0 mmol) and the

reaction was maintained at room temperature for 48–72 h. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals, yield 78%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1570; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.38 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.10 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.00 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.40 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.72 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.68 (s, 3H, SCH₃).

3-Carboxy-8-methylsulphoxypyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **10a** and 3-carboxy-8-methysulphonylpyrazolo-[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **11a**

These products were obtained by treatment of 4a [11] with sodium thiomethoxide in refluxing ethanol without nitrogen flow. The compounds 10a and 11a were chromatographed over a silica-gel column eluting with toluene/ethyl acetate/acetic acid (8:2:1).

Compound 10a. Yellow crystals. IR $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$: 3400, 2600, 1740, 1550, 1120; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.74 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.57 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.10 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.80 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.76 (s, 3H, SOCH₃).

Compound 11a. Yellow crystals IR v cm⁻¹: 3300, 2600, 1700, 1560, 1310–1140; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 12.50 (bs, 1H, OH) 9.06 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.95 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.89 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.42 (dd, 1H, H-7); 3.55 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃).

8-Hydrazinylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 17b To a solution of 4b [11] (0.9 mmol, 200 mg) and THF (10 mL), was added hydrazine hydrate (3.0 mL). The reaction was kept at 50–60 °C for 48–72 h and a precipitate was obtained; this was filtered, washed with a small amount of ethanol and recrystallized. Orange crystals; yield 45%. IR v cm⁻¹: 3380–3300; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.80 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.18 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.10 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.42 (d, 1H, H-9); 6.98 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.68 (d, 1H, H-3); 4.72 (bs, 2H, NH₂).

3-Nitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 1e To a solution of 1b [11] (4.0 mmol) dissolved in cooled conc sulphuric acid (10 mL), was added dropwise conc nitric acid (1.0 mL); the red solution was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. Addition of ice/water yielded a solid mixture of 1e and 14e (as a minor product; toluene/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 8:2:1 as eluent) which was filtered and purified by recrystallization from acetic acid. Compound 1e was obtained pure as yellow crystals; yield 47%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1570, 1500, 1340; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ: 9.12 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.50 (m, 2H, H-6 and H-9); 8.25 (t, 1H, H-7); 7.92 (t, 1H, H-8).

Evaporation of the recrystallization solvent of 1e gave 14e.

3,7-Dinitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 14e This compound was obtained, as single product, from 1b [11] in the same manner as 1e, using fuming nitric acid (5.0 mL). Yellow crystals. Yield 80%; IR v cm⁻¹: 1570, 1530, 1330; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 9.24 (s, 1H, H-2); 9.18 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.92 (dd, 1H, H-8); 8.69 (d, 1H, H-9).

3-Ethoxycarbonyl-7-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **2c**

A suspension of 0.38 mmol of the acid **2a** in 10 mL diethyl-carbonate and 2.0 mL conc sulphuric acid was refluxed for 5 h. After evaporation, the residue was treated with a small amount of ethanol, filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals; yield 64%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1730, 1570; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.50 (s, 1H, H-6); 8.32 (m, 2H, H-2 and H-9); 7.84 (dd, 1H, H-8); 4.45 (q, 2H, CH₂); 2.60 (s, 3H, 7-CH₃); 1.42 (t, 3H, CH₃).

3-Ethoxycarbonyl-8-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 3c

A suspension of 0.38 mmol of the acid **3a** in anhydrous ethanol (50 mL) and conc sulphuric acid (2.0 mL) was refluxed for 12 h. After evaporation, the residue was treated with a small amount of ethanol, filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals; yield 70%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1700, 1570; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) &: 8.50 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.43 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.23 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.49 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.46 (q, 2H, CH₂); 2.65 (s, 3H, 8-CH₃); 1.42 (t, 3H, CH₃).

3-Ethoxycarbonyl-8-morpholinopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 7c

This compound was obtained from the acid 7a, in the same manner as 2c. Yellow crystals; yield 56%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1695, 1560; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 8.56 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.22 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.42 (m, 2H, H-7 and H-9); 4.32 (q, 2H, CH₂); 3.78 (t, 4H, OCH₂CH₂); 3.58 (t, 4H, NCH₂CH₃); 1.33 (t, 3H, CH₃).

3-Ethoxycarbonyl-8-methylthiopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **9c**

A suspension of 0.38 mmol of the acid **9a** in anhydrous ethanol (20 mL) containing 5.0 mL conc sulphuric acid was refluxed for 2 days until the starting material disappeared in TLC (toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2 as eluent). After cooling the precipitate was filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals; yield 5%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1710, 1560; 1H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ. 8.62 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.30 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.98 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.65 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.35 (q, 2H, CH₂); 2.78 (s, 3H, SCH₃); 1.38 (t, 3H, CH₃).

General procedure for synthesis of 2d, 3d, 4d, 6d, 9d, 16dR and 19dR

The appropriate pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide (0.60 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL chloroform to which an equimolar amount of bromine was slowly added. A solution or precipitate was obtained, and after the normal workup, the residue was recrystallized from the appropriate solvent.

3-Bromo-7-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 2d. This was obtained from 2b. Yellow crystals, yield 95%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1550; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.36 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.26 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.06 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.79 (dd, 1H, H-8); 2.59 (s, 3H, CH₃).

3-Bromo-8-methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,41benzotriazine 5-oxide 3d. This was obtained from 3b. Yellow crystals, yield 95%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1550; 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.42 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.16 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.06 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.45 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.65 (s, 3H, CH₃).

3-Bromo-8-chloropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 4d. This was obtained from 4b [11]. Yellow crystals, yield 58%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1550; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.50 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.38 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.10 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.62 (dd, 1H, H-7).

3-Bromo-8-ethylethylenedioxopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **6d**. This was obtained from **6b**. Yellow crystals, yield 90%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1570; 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.44 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.05 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.69 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.20 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.36 (t, 2H, ArOCH₂CH₂); 3.88 (t, 2H, ArOCH₂CH₂); 3.62 (q, 2H, CH₂); 1.2 (t, 3H, CH₃).

3-Bromo-8-methylthiopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 9d. This was obtained from 9b. Yellow crystals, yield 89%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1570; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.35 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.04

- (s, 1H, H-2); 7.96 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.40 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.65 (s, 3H, SCH₃).
- 3-Bromo-7-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 16dR. This was obtained from 16bR. Yellow crystals, yield 70%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3280, 1720; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ :10.62 (s, 1H, NH); 9.02 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.56 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.42 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.16 (dd, 1H, H-8); 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 3-Bromo-8-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 19dR. This was obtained from 19bR. Yellow crystals, yield 80%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3380, 1690; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ :10.95 (s, 1H, NH); 8.90 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.62 (m, 2H, H-2 and H-6); 7.78 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃).

Preparation of pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazines 1bR-5bR, 14bR, 15bR, 18bR, 1cR, 4cR, 8cR, 12cR, 14cR, 1dR, 9dR, 12dR and 1eR. Methods of reduction

Method A. A solution of the appropriate 5-oxide 1-5b, 14b, 1c, 4c, 8c, 12c, 14c, 1d, 9d, 12d or 1e (1.0 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) containing 3.0 mL triethylphosphite was refluxed until the starting material disappeared in TLC. After evaporation, the residue was treated with a few millilitres of diethyl ether or petroleum ether at 40-60 °C, filtered and recrystallized from a suitable solvent.

- Method B. A suspension of suitable 5-oxide derivative 1b or 18b (1.0 mmol) in acetic acid (15 mL for 1b and 20 mL acetic acid/ethanol solution 1:1 for 18b), was magnetically stirred at room temperature. A large excess of zinc dust (8.0 mmol in three portions) and 2×10 mL acetic acid were added. The reaction was monitored by TLC; the zinc residue was filtered off and the solution was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was treated with water, filtered and recrystallized from an appropriate solvent.
- Method C. A suspension of 14b (1.34 mmol), in 15 mL conc hydrochloric acid was cooled at 0 °C and treated with 0.8 g of tin under stirring. After 5 min a white precipitate of tin(IV) chloride showed the end of reduction, which was also confirmed by TLC. The suspension was made alkaline with 3 N sodium hydroxide until dissolution of the white tin(IV) chloride and precipitation of 15bR as a red residue. The latter was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol (more crude 15bR was obtained by extraction of the aqueous solution with ethylether)
- *Pyrazolo*[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine *1bR*. This was obtained from **1b** [11] by *Methods A* (yield 65%) and *B* (yield 90%). TLC eluent chloroform; cream crystals; 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.65 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.50 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.22 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.98 (t, 1H, H-8); 7.75 (t, 3H, H-7); 7.42 (d, 1H, H-3).
- 7-Methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 2bR. This was obtained from 2b by Method A. TLC eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 38%. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.41 (m, 1H, H-6 and H-9); 8.20 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.78 (dd, 1H, H-8); 7.40 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.65 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 8-Methylpyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 3bR. This was obtained from 3b by Method A. TLC eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 38%. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.50 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.28 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.20 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.78 (dd, 1H, H-7); 7.38 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.68 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 8-Chloropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 4bR. This was obtained from 4b [11] by Mehod A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl

- acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 63%. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.54 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.44 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.22 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.66 (dd, 1H, H-7); 7.42 (d, 1H, H-3).
- 7-Chloropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5bR. This was obtained from 5b [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2; ellow crystals; yield 85%. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.63 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.45 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.22 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.89 (dd, 1H, H-8); 7.45(d, 1H, H-3).
- 7-Nitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 14bR. This was obtained from 14b [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 70%. IR v cm $^{-1}$: 1530, 1360; 1 H-NMR (CDCl $_{3}$) δ : 9.53 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.80 (dd, 1H, H-8); 8.61 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.34 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.56 (d, 1H, H-3). This product was also prepared from 15bR by oxidation in the same manner as 18b and 18b'.
- 7-Aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 15bR. This was obtained from 14b [11] by Method C. TLC eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate 7:3; red crystals; yield 70%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3460, 3360-3220; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.25 (m, 2H, H-2 and H-9); 7.59 (d, 1H, H-3); 7.44 (m, 2H, H-6 and H-8); 5.99 (bs, 2H, NH₂).
- 8-Aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 18bR. This was obtained from 17b by Method B. TLC eluent ethyl acetate/cyclohexane 2:1; yellow crystals; yield 60%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3380–3180; 1 H-NMR (DMSO- 4 6) δ: 8.28 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.20 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.25 (m, 4H, H-3, H-9 and NH₂); 7.15 (dd, 1H, H-7).
- Methyl pyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine-3-carboxylate IcR. This was obtained from 1c [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 45%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1710; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.78 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.68 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.55 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.08 (t, 1H, H-7); 7.88 (t, 1H, H-8); 4.10 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- Ethyl 8-chloropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine-3-carboxylate 4cR. This was obtained from 4c [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 8:2:1; yellow crystals; yield 87%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1740; 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.70 (m, 2H, H-2 and H-6); 8.66 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.80 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.55 (q, 2H, CH₂); 1.53 (t, 3H, CH₃).
- Ethyl 8-diethylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine-3-carboxylate 8cR. This was obtained from 8c by Method A. TLC eluent ethyl acetate/cyclohexane 2:1; yellow crystals; yield 66%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 1700; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.58 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.38 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.26 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.14 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.55 (q, 2H, CH_2CH_3); 3.62 (q, 4H, $N(CH_2)_2$); 1.47 (t, 3H, CH_2CH_3); 1.33 (t, 6H, $N(CH_2CH_3)_2$.
- Ethyl 8-ethoxypyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine-3-carboxylate 12cR. This was obtained from 12c [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 70%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1720, 1250; 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.67 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.60 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.80 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.38 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.55 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₃); 4.35 (q, 2H, OCH₂CH₃); 1.56 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₃); 1.48 (t, 6H, OCH₂CH₃)₂.
- Ethyl 7-nitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine-3-carboxylate 14cR. This was obtained from 14c [11] by Method A. TLC eluent chloroform/cyclohexane/ethylacetate 8:2.5:2; yellow crystals; yield 40%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1730, 1570, 1350; ¹H-NMR

- (CDCl₃) δ : 9.62 (s, 1H, H-6); 8.85 (d, 1H, H-8); 8.70 (m, 2H, H-9 and H-2); 4.55 (q, 2H, CH₂); 1.50 (t, 3H, CH₃).
- 3-Bromopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 1dR. This was obtained from 1d [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 78%; 1 H-NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ : 8.68 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.45 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.20 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.99 (t, 1H, H-7); 7.78 (t, 1H, H-8).
- 3-Bromo-8-methylthiopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 9dR. This was obtained from 9d by Method A. TLC eluent ethyl acetate/cyclohexane 2:1; yellow crystals; yield 83%; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.45 (d, 1H, H-6), 8.19 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.05 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.55 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.67 (s, 3H, SCH₃).
- 3-Bromo-8-ethoxypyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotrinzine 12dR. This was obtained from 12d [11] by Method A. TLC eluent toluene/ethyl acetate 8:2; yellow crystals; yield 72%. IR ν m⁻¹: 1230; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.53 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.19 (s, 1H, H-2); 7.71 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.30 (dd, 1H, H-7); 4.31 (q, 2H, CH₂); 1.56 (t, 3H, CH₃).
- 3-Nitropyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 1eR. This was obtained from 1e by Method A. TLC eluent ethyl acetate/cyclohexane 2:1; yellow crystals; yield 89%. IR v cm⁻¹: 1550, 1310; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.86 (m, 2H, H-6 and H-2); 8.60 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.18 (t, 1H, H-7); 7.98 (t, 1H, H-8).
- 7-Acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine **16bR** A solution of **15bR** (1.0 mmol) in acetic acid (10 mL) and acetic anhydride (10 mL) was refluxed until the starting material disappeared in TLC (chloroform/ethyl acetate 7:3 as eluent). After cooling and dilution the precipitate was filtered and recrystallized. Yellow crystals; yield 59%. IR v cm⁻¹: 13300, 1680; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ: 10.61 (s, 1H, NH); 8.98 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.42 (m, 2H, H-9 and H-2); 8.16 (dd, 1H, H-8); 7.60 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 8-Acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 19bR
 This was obtained from 18bR in the same manner as 16bR.
 TLC eluent cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1; yellow crystals; yield 75 %. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3280, 1700; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ:10.87 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.97 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.56 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.41 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.79 (dd, 1H, H-7); 7.51 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 3-Bromo-7-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 16d
- To a solution of **16dR** (0.5 mmol) in chloroform (20 mL), an excess of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) was added. The reaction was kept at 60 °C and monitored by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 10:0.5 as eluent). The orange precipitate obtained was filtered and recrystallized. Yield 45%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3360, 1690, 1550; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 10.62 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.83 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.40 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.35 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.18 (dd, 1H, H-8); 2.15 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 3-Bromo-8-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 19d
- To a solution of **19dR** (0.8 mmol) in acetic acid (30 mL), were added acetic anhydride (15 mL) and hydrogen peroxide (6.0 mL). The solution was refluxed for 8 h and the yellow precipitate obtained was filtered and recrystallized. Yield 83%. IR ν cm⁻¹: 3340, 1700; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 10.90 (bs, 1H, NH); 8.82 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.41 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.40 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.69 (dd, 1H, H-7); 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃).

- 8-Aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 18b and 8-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 4-oxide 18b'
 These compounds were obtained from 18bR using various oxidizing agents: oxone as described in [14], or hydrogen peroxide/acetic anhydride and/or acetic acid in the same manner as 19d. A mixture of 18b and 18b' was obtained; 18b was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform/acetonitrile 1:1 as eluent), while 18b' (present in a small amount) was only identified in the reaction mixture, by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy.
- Compound 18b. Mp 281–282 °C. IR v cm⁻¹: 3460, 3330, 3240, 1570; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 8.14 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.08 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.20 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.15 (bs, 2H, NH₂); 6.90 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.67 (d, 1H, H-3).
- Compound 18b'. ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.26 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.65 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.30 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.15 (d, 1H, H-3); 6.99 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.72 (bs, 2H, NH₂).
- 8-Acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **19b** and 8-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 4-oxide **19b'**
- These compounds were obtained from 19bR by oxidation with various oxidizing agents in the same manner as 18b and 18b'. Compound 19b was purified from the mixture by recrystallization from ethanol, while 19b' (present in a small amount) was only identified in the reaction mixture by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy.
- Compound 19b. Mp 284–286 °C. IR v cm⁻¹ 3360, 1700, 1570; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ: 10.84 (s, 1H, NH); 8.84 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.40 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.26 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.65 (dd, 1H, H-7); 6.86 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- Compound **19b'**. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 10.68 (s, 1H, NH); 8.81 (d, 1H, H-9); 8.32 (d, 1H, H-2); 7.92 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.72 (dd, 1H, H-7); 7.29 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- 7-Acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **16b** and 7-acetylaminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 4-oxide **16b'**
- These compounds were obtained from 16bR by oxidation with various oxidizing agents in the same manner as 19b and 19b'. Compounds 16b and 16b' were not separable and were only identified by ^IH-NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixture.
- Compound **16b**. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 10.84 (s, 1H, NH); 8.84 (d, 1H, H-6); 8.32 (m, 2H, H-2 and H-9); 8.14 (dd, 1H, H-8); 6.88 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- Compound 16b'. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ: 10.45 (s, 1H, NH); 8.44 (d, 1H, H-2); 8.26 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.90 (dd, 1H, H-8); 7.20 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.28 (d, 1H, H-3); 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃).
- General procedure for synthesis of 15d, 18d, 15dR and 18dR A suspension of 16d, 19d, 16dR or 19dR (100 mg, 0.310 mmol) in 50 mL of 6 N hydrochloric acid was refluxed for 2 days. After cooling, the residue was treated with 10% sodium hydroxide and the precipitate was filtered and recrystallized.
- 3-Bromo-7-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide **15d**. This was obtained from **16d**. TLC eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate 7:3; orange crystals; yield 55%. IR v cm⁻¹ 3500–3380, 1570; 1 H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.31 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.15 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.45 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.38 (dd, 1H, H-8); 6.22 (bs, 2H, NH₂).

3-Bromo-8-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 18d. This was obtained from 19d. TLC eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate 7:3; yellow crystals; yield 75%. IR v cm⁻¹ 3420, 3380–3260; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) &: 8.30 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.10 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.25 (s, 2H, NH₂); 7.15 (d, 1H, H-9); 6.90 (dd, 1H, H-7).

3-Bromo-7-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine **15dR**. This was obtained from **16dR**. TLC eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate 7:3; orange crystals; yield 55%. IR v cm⁻¹ 3340–3220; ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.40 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.29 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.61 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.58 (dd, 1H, H-8); 6.20 (bs, 2H, NH₂).

3-Bromo-8-aminopyrazolo[5,1-c][1,2,4]benzotriazine 5-oxide 18dR. This was obtained from 19dR. TLC eluent dichloromethame/methanol 10:0.5; yellow crystals; yield 80%. IR ν cm⁻¹ 3420, 3360–3240; 1 H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ: 8.41 (s, 1H, H-2); 8.21 (d, 1H, H-6); 7.38 (s, 2H, NH₂); 7.21 (d, 1H, H-9); 7.08 (dd, 1H, H-7).

Pharmacology

In vitro inhibition of [3H]flunitrazepam binding [3H]Flunitrazepam binding assays on bovine celebral cortex were carried out as described in the literature [23].

Pharmacological methods

The experiments were carried out on adult male Swiss-Webster mice, body weight 22–28 g, and Wistar rats, body weight 200–210 g, from the Morini S Polo breeding farm. Fifteen mice or four rats were housed per cage. The cages were taken to the experimental room 24 h before the experiment, for acclimatization. The animals were fed a standard laboratory diet and tap water ad libitum. After administration of substances, the animals' gross behaviour was observed for 30 min.

Rotarod test

The apparatus for the rotarod test [24] consists of a base platform and a rotating rod of 3 cm diameter with a non-slippery surface. This rod is placed at a height of 15 cm from the base The 30 cm long rod is divided into five equal sections by six disks. The integrity of motor coordination was assessed at a rotating speed of 24 rpm, counting the number of falls from the rod in 30 s, 25 min after treatment. The statistical analysis was performed by means of the Kruskal–Wallis test.

Assessment of the anticonvulsant activity

The test was performed using PTZ (Sigma) at a dose (75 mg/kg sc) that induced convulsion in 90.3% of control mice. The animals were treated po with the substances under test or with DAZ 30 min before administration of PTZ and observed thereafter for 30 min. The number of mice that did not develop convulsions was counted and the percentage of nonconvulsant-treated mice was compared to that of controls by means of the χ^2 test.

Plus-maze test

The apparatus consists of an elevated (52 cm from the floor) cross-shaped, metal platform with two (opposite) open arms and two arms protected by walls of the same material ($50 \times 10 \times 40$ cm). The base of each of the four arms was covered with black rubber in order to allow the animals to walk on a nonslippery surface. The anxiolytic effect of the substances was assessed by placing the rats in the middle of the maze facing one of the open arms 30 min after the pharmacological treatment, and letting them free to explore the platform for 5 min. The percentage entries in the open arm over the total number of entries and the cumulative time spent in the open arms were evaluated.

References

- 1 Doble A, Martin IL (1992) TIPS 13, 76-81
- 2 Haefely W, Martin JR, Schoch P (1990) TIPS 11, 452-456
- 3 Hollinshead SP, Trudell ML, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1990) J Med Chem 33, 1062–1069
- 4 Arauzo HD, Evoniuk GE, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1991) J Med Chem 34, 1754–1756
- 5 Zhang W, Koehler KF, Harris B, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1994) J Med Chem 37, 745–757
- 6 Martin MJ, Trudell ML, Arauzo HD et al (1992) J Med Chem 35, 4105-
- 7 Allen MS, Yun-Chou T, Trudell ML et al (1990) J Med Chem 33, 2343– 2357
- 8 Trudell Ml, Lifer SL, Yun-Chou T et al (1990) J Med Chem 33, 2412–2420
- 9 Zhang W, Koehler KF, Zhang P, CooK JM (1995) Drug Design Discovery 12, 193-248
- 10 Yokoyama N, Ritter B, Neubert AD (1982) J Med Chem 25, 337-339
- 11 Costanzo A, Guerrini G, Bruni F, Selleri S (1994) J Heterocycl Chem 31, 1369–1376
- 12 Lindley JM, McRobbie IM, Meth-Chan O, Suschitzky H (1980) J Chem Soc. Perkin Trans 1 982–994
- 13 Mangini A, Colonna M (1938) Gazz Chim Ital 68, 708-717
- 14 Zabrowski DL, Moormann AE, Beck KR Jr (1988) Tetrahedron Lett 29, 4501–4504
- 15 Sanger DJ, (1985) Life Sci 36, 1503-1513
- 16 Skerritt JH, Johnston GAR, Braestrup C, (1982) Eur J Pharmacol 86,
- 17 Braestrup C, Nielsen M, Honorè T, Jensen L, Petersen EN (1983) Neuropharmacology 22, 1451–1457
- 18 Da Settimo A, Primofiore G, Da Settimo F et al (1994) Drug Design Discovery 11, 307–328
- 19 Fryer RJ, Zhang P, Rios R, Zi-Qing G, Basile AS, Skolnick P (1993) J Med Chem 36, 1669–1673
- 20 Dantzer R, Perio A (1982) Eur J Pharmacol 81, 655-658
- 21 Hart MY, Sander JWAS, Meinardi H, Nutt DJ, Shorvon SD (1991) The Lancet 337, 744
- 22 Rathouska J, Kubova H, Mares P, Vorlicek J (1993) Pharmacol J Bioch Behav 44, 581–586
- 23 Colotta V, Cecchi L, Catarzi D et al (1993) Il Farmaco 48, 1051-1063
- 24 Vaught JL, Pelley K, Costa LG, Setler P, Enna SG (1985) Neuropharmacology 24, 211–216